

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

/						
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/604,633	08/06/2003	Samuel Vinod Thamboo	839-1439	839-1439 1632		
30024	7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER			
	ANDERHYE P.C.	SHEEHAN, JOHN P				
-	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FI					
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1742			
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/200	DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W	
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/604,633		THAMBOO ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		John P. Shee	ehan	1742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTAL SUPPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS .136(a). In no event, d will apply and will exte, cause the applica	communication however, may a reply be time xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Mark</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non ance except for	- -final. r formal matters, pro		erits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-19 is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination on Papers The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the period	or election required or by the following the	uirement. objected to by the Eneld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>September 11, 2003</u> .	5)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa	•	2)	

Application/Control Number: 10/604,633 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I claims 1 to 16 in the reply filed on November 18, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1 to 10 and 12 to 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure that is not enabling. Cooling rates after solution annealing and after stabilization treatment are disclosed as critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

In paragraphs 0027 and 0028 of the specification it is disclosed that;

(0027) Testing and experimentation have

determined that: I) Cooling rates after

solution treatment need to be slow

(1-5Deg. F/min) for good strength.

(0028) 2) cooling rates after stabilization

treatment need to be high (15-30Deg. F/min)

for good strength. (emphasis added

by the Examiner)

Application/Control Number: 10/604,633 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

In view of the disclosure that these cooling rages need to be employed, the combination of these two cooling rates is considered to be critical or essential to applicants' process, however none of claims 1 to 10 and 12 to 16 recite a process employing the combination of these cooling rates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 to 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted known prior art disclosed in the specification in paragraphs 0004 to 0007.

The admitted known process disclosed in paragraphs 0004 to 007 of applicants' specification teaches the process steps and temperatures recited in the instant claims.

(0004) Heat Treatment A.

(0005) solution treatment at 1700-1850⁰F for a time commensurate with section size, then air cool;

(0006) stabilization treatment at 1550°F for three hours, then air cool; and (0007) precipitation treatment at 1325°F for 8hr,

then furnace cool at 100°F/hr to1150°F/8hr,

Art Unit: 1742

then air cool.

Regarding the solution treatment step, the prior art temperature overlaps the solution temperature recited in the applicants' claims; the prior art stabilization temperature and time are encompassed by the stabilization temperature and time recited in the instant claims and the prior art precipitation ageing temperature and time are the same as recited in the instant claims.

The claims and the prior art differ in that the prior art process does not teach a specific solution heat treatment time, but rather discloses that the time is commensurate to the heat treated work piece size. Further, the prior art teaches air cooling while applicants' claims 3, 5, 6, and 10 to 16 specific cooling rates for the cooling steps.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the determination of the solution heat treatment time is disclosed by the prior art as commensurate to the size of the work piece. In view of this, and the fact that the prior art and the instantly claimed process are treating turbine rotor disks at the same temperature the prior art process solution time would be expected to be the same as the solution heat treatment time recited in the applicants' claimed process. Regarding the cooling rates, it is the Examiner's position that the prior art cools by air cooling which is considered to encompass furnace cooling in air, removing the workpiece from the treatment furnace and cooling the workpiece in quiescent air, removing the workpiece from the treatment furnace and cooling the workpiece in an air blast stream, etc. In view of this, applicants'

Application/Control Number: 10/604,633 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

claimed cooling rates are not considered to distinguish over the air cooling taught by the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:45-4:30) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P. Sheehan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1742